



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/823,508	03/29/2001	Dennis Sunga Fernandez	FERN-P001E	9844		
22877	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER			
	FERNANDEZ & ASSOCIATES LLP 1047 EL CAMINO REAL			VO, TUNG T		
SUITE 201	WIII O KEAL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MENLO PAI	RK, CA 94025		2613	_		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/823,508	FERNANDEZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tung Vo	2613	

	Tung Vo	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	acauca		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-19, 22-32, 34-35, 37-49</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
		Tung Vo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued that Hollenberg's camera is designed for digital copying documents and deciphering UPC barcodes on street signals, Hollenberg neither teaches nor suggests any "software agent" for "video surveillance" or "visual recognition" of mobile buyer by vendor processor, pages 8-9 or the remarks.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with that applicant. It is submitted that the Hollenberg suggests a digital camera has capabilities of taking digital photographs or video recordings of the scene would be quickly transmitted to those who evaluate (recognize) emergency-situation information (col. 7, lines 47-60, visual information, col. 8, lines 7-24) so this suggests the camera would have capabilities of capturing an image of a user to be transmitted to the emergency-response dispatch center or other locations for evaluation.

The applicant further argued that Hollenberg does not teach "software agent", page 9 of the remarks.

The applicant respectfully disagrees with that applicant. It is submitted that Hollenberg teaches "software agent" (fig. 13) and the software agent would obvious be implemented in a known-location information service provider that includes one or more radios, accessible network, computer equipment with memory, which term includes storage, drives, and RAM units, and computer programs to provide for efficient situation information exchange between

Application/Control Number: 09/823,508

Art Unit: 2613

them (col. 5, lines 12-28), wherein the service provider has the software agent (i.e. GPS, electronic mail, entertainment, games, news, television, particularly digital TV, and access to other networks, including the Internet, col. 7, lines 40-45)communicates between the mobile user and other network provider or server (Traffic Control, Events). In view of the discussion above, the claimed features are unpatentable over Hollenberg.

Page 3

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tung Vo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613